

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				()
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,378	10/26/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 112	6155
7590 04/22/2004			EXAMI	NER
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo,			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.			ADTIDUT	DADED MUMBED
Suite 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adams Street			3739	j.
Chicago, IL 6	60606 ·		DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	م) /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				2			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/032,378	HOOVEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rosiland S Kearney	3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/7/	<u>′04</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims	Expanto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11,	400 0.0, 210,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
_	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
_a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachmen	_						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/032,378

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. (US 6273887) further in view of Chee et al. (US 2002/0183738) Yamauchi et al. disclose a device for clamping cardiac tissue comprising a first handle member, a second handle member, first and second mating jaws, a first elongate ablation electrode and a second elongate electrode as illustrated in figure 74b. Yamauchi et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except an EKG sensor. Chee disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a EKG sensor on the working end of the device to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an EKG sensor on the elongate electrode as claimed to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated.

Claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. and Chee et al. further in view of Imran '860.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,378

Art Unit: 3739

Yamauchi et al. and Chee et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the electrodes comprising gold plated cooper and the length and width of the electrodes. Imran discloses an ablation device and teaches that it is old and well known in the art to use gold plated copper as an electrode material for the Yamauchi et al. device, since it has been held to within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, particularly in view of the teaching of Imran.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the electrode according to the dimensions as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum dimensions involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-12 and 14-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

RR